

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name	Roxanne Hearn	Phone	613-395-3380 ext. 2222
Title	Treasurer		
Municipality	Township of Stirling-Rawdon		
E-mail	treasurer@stirling-rawdon.com		

Related documents and links

2008 FIR Schedule PM 91 and PM 92

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON Kevin Heath, Clerk-Administrator 613-395-3380 ext. 2223
--

1.1 GENERAL GOVERNMENT – EFFICIENCY			
	2008	2007	
	5.9%	3.1%	
<p>Efficiency Measure Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.</p> <p>Objective Efficient municipal government.</p>			
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results 08 Salaries, Wages & Benefits = 2.6% 08 Materials, Contracts and Program Support = 3.3%</p>			
<p>The formula for the local government measure was changed in 2007. SLC 91 0205 13 Financial Information Return.</p>			

Fire Services

CONTACT PERSON

Gene Thompson, Fire Chief 613-395-0214

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$0.86	\$0.88	\$0.54	\$0.64	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.

Objective

Efficient fire services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Volunteer Fire Fighters and One Full time Staff
 08 Salaries, Wages & Benefits = \$0.49 per \$1,000 of assessment
 08 Materials and program support = \$0.37 per \$1,000 of assessment

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
 SLC 91 1102 13 Financial Information Return.

Police Services

CONTACT PERSON

Brian Foley, Police Chief 613-395-0844

3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$249.46	\$247.74	\$223.39	\$202.31	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for police services per person.

Objective

Efficient police services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

08 Salaries, Wages & Benefits = \$207.61 per person
 08 Materials, Contracts and program support = \$41.85 per person
 Based on our population of 4906

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
 SLC 91 1203 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

3.2 VIOLENT CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	3.669	5.095	5.091	5.252	8.09

Effectiveness Measure

Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Safe communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Total number of actual incidents of violent crime = 18 for 2008

SLC 92 1258 07 Financial Information Return.

3.3 PROPERTY CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	27.517	23.436	39.841	45.444	46.99

Effectiveness Measure

Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Safe communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Total number of actual incidents of property crime = 135 for 2008

SLC 92 1259 07 Financial Information Return.

3.4 TOTAL CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	34.448	34.441	62.638	63.028	69.69

Effectiveness Measure

Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (Criminal Code offences, excluding traffic).

Objective

Safe Communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Total number of actual incidents of violent crime, property crime and other criminal code offences but excluding traffic = 169 for 2008

SLC 92 1263 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

3.5 YOUTH CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	53.476	46.683	34.398	17.429	
<p>Effectiveness Measure Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.</p> <p>Objective Safe Communities.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results Total number of youths cleared by charge or cleared otherwise per 1,000 youth population = 20 for 2008. Youth Population 374</p>					
<p>The formula for youth crime was changed in 2005 to include youths who receive pre-charge resolutions such as warnings and cautions. SLC 92 1265 07 Financial Information Return.</p>					

Roads

<p>CONTACT PERSON Perry Blackburn, PW Manager 613-395-1241</p>

4.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$7,077.72	\$9790.88	\$8652.32	\$7236.52	
<p>Efficiency Measure Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.</p> <p>Objective Efficient maintenance of paved roads.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results 08 Salaries, Wages and Benefits = \$2,312.98 per paved lane kilometre 08 Materials, Contracts and Program Support = \$4,764.74 per paved lane kilometre Total Paved Lane Kilometres = 124</p>					
<p>Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 2107 13 Financial Information Return.</p>					

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

4.2 UNPAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$3,416.02	\$1136.23	\$552.80	\$672.14	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.

Objective

Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

08 Salaries, Wages and Benefits = \$1,207.50 per unpaved lane kilometre
 08 Materials and Program Support = \$2,208.52 per unpaved lane kilometre
 Total Unpaved Lane Kilometres = 172

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
 SLC 91 2108 13 Financial Information Return.

4.3 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$32.16	\$128.37	\$222.71	\$223.51	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.

Objective

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Total Operating Cost for Winter Maintenance \$95,033 and total lane kilometre maintained in the Winter = 2,955

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
 SLC 91 2204 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

4.4 ADEQUACY OF PAVED ROADS - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	71.0%	71%	70.5%	70.5%	65.2%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good.

Objective

Pavement condition meets municipal objectives.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

88 Kilometres of paved lane is rated as good to very good

Total paved lane kilometres = 124

SLC 92 2152 07 Financial Information Return.

Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario Good Roads Association or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS).

4.5 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.

Objective

Appropriate response to winter storm events.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

21 Total Winter Events

SLC 92 2251 07 Financial Information Return.

Conventional Transit

CONTACT PERSON

NOT APPLICABLE

5.1 CONVENTIONAL TRANSIT – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.

Objective

Efficient conventional transit services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 2302 13 Financial Information Return.

5.2 CONVENTIONAL TRANSIT RIDERSHIP - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	x.x	x.x	x.x	x.x	x.x

Effectiveness Measure

Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area in a year.

Objective

Maximum utilization of municipal transit services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 2351 07 Financial Information Return.

Wastewater (Sewage)

CONTACT PERSON

Perry Blackburn, PW Manager 613-395-1241

6.1 WASTEWATER COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the collection of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.

Objective

Efficient municipal wastewater collection services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Not Applicable

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 3110 13 Financial Information Return.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of wastewater main was redefined; connections were eliminated.

6.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.

Objective

Efficient municipal wastewater treatment and disposal services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Not Applicable

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3108 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

6.3 WASTEWATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$955.21	\$665.43	\$472.21	\$349.85	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (Integrated System).

Objective

Efficient wastewater system (Integrated System).

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

\$313,309.00 operating costs for 2008 for 328 Megalitres treated

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3109 13 Financial Information Return.

6.4 WASTEWATER MAIN BACKUPS - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	X.XX	X.XX	X.XX	X.XX	X.XX

Effectiveness Measure

Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year.

Objective

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Not Applicable

SLC 92 3154 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

6.5 WASTEWATER BYPASSES TREATMENT - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	x.xxx%	x.xxx%	x.xxx%	x.xxx%	x.xxx%
Effectiveness Measure Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.					
Objective Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.					
Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results Not Applicable					
SLC 92 3155 07 Financial Information Return.					

Storm Water

CONTACT PERSON

NOT APPLICABLE

7.1 URBAN STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.

Objective

Efficient urban storm water management.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
In 2006, the definition of kilometres of drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; catch basins were retained.
Reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.
SLC 91 3207 13 Financial Information Return.

7.2 RURAL STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.

Objective

Efficient rural storm water management.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
In 2006, the definition of kilometres of drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; catch basins were retained
Reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.
SLC 91 3208 13 Financial Information Return.

Drinking Water

CONTACT PERSON

Perry Blackburn, PW Manager 613-395-1241

8.1 DRINKING WATER TREATMENT – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$404.76	\$511.59	\$319.11	\$257.59	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.

Objective

Efficient municipal water treatment services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Total Operating Costs for Treatment of Drinking Water = \$123,046 and the Total megalitres of Drinking Water Treated = 304,000

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3307 13 Financial Information Return.

8.2 DRINKING WATER DISTRIBUTION – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006		
	\$13,563.63	\$9550.71	\$9924.25		

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the distribution of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.

Objective

Efficient municipal water distribution services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

\$325,527 operating costs for 2008 and 24 KM of water distribution pipe

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of distribution pipe was redefined; connections and hydrants were eliminated.

SLC 91 3310 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

8.3 DRINKING WATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$1475.57	\$1174.07	\$1017.59	\$911.88	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment and distribution of drinking water per megalitre.
(Integrated System)

Objective

Efficient municipal water system (Integrated System).

Key Factors for Understanding Performance

\$448.573 Operating costs and 304 Megalitres treated

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3309 13 Financial Information Return.

8.4 BOIL WATER ADVISORIES - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.0000	11	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure

Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the Medical Officer of Health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.

Objective

Water is safe and meets local needs.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Zero Boil Water Days in 2008

SLC 92 3355 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

8.5 BREAKS IN WATER MAINS - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	20.8333	4.1667	0	6.6667	0
<p>Effectiveness Measure Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.</p> <p>Objective Improve system reliability.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results</p> <p>In 2008 we have 5 Water Main Breaks for a total of .24 kilometres of pipe /100 kms.</p>					
<p>SLC 92 3356 07 Financial Information Return.</p>					

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

<p>CONTACT PERSON Perry Blackburn, PW Manager 613-395-1241</p>

9.1 GARBAGE COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$51.37	\$37.14	\$22.10	\$22.50	
<p>Efficiency Measure Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)</p> <p>Objective Efficient municipal garbage collection services.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results Total Costs for collection in 2008 = \$102,842 and total households = 2002</p>					
<p>Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 3403 13 Financial Information Return.</p>					

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

9.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$94.34	\$94.76	\$90.70	\$77.24	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne or **per household. (Specify)**

Objective

Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

\$188,860 Operating costs for Garbage Disposal and 2002 Households

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 3503 13 Financial Information Return.

9.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$27.10	\$38.28	\$26.10	\$27.42	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per tonne or **per household. (Specify)**

Objective

Efficient municipal solid waste diversion (recycling) services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

\$54,248 operating costs and 2002 households

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 3604 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

9.4 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT (INTEGRATED SYSTEM) – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$172.80	\$170.18	\$138.91	\$127.46	

Efficiency Measure

Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne or per household. (Specify)

Objective

Efficient municipal solid waste management (Integrated System).

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

\$345,950 Operating Costs and 2002 households

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 3605 13 Financial Information Return.

9.5 COMPLAINTS — COLLECTION OF GARBAGE AND RECYCLED MATERIALS EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	2.498	2.529	0	0	2.5760

Effectiveness Measure

Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.

Objective

Improved garbage collection of garbage and recycled materials.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Total of 5 complaints received and we have 2.002 per 1000 households

SLC 92 3452 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**9.6 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	2	2	2	2	2

Effectiveness Measure

Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval.

Objective

Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Stirling Site
Rawdon Site

SLC 92 3552 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

9.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE - EFFECTIVENESS

Effectiveness Measure

Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

Objective

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

Facility Name	Days 2008	Days 2007	Days 2006	Days 2005	Days 2004
Stirling Site	0	0	0	0	0
Rawdon Site	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Please delete un-used rows</i>					

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Performance

Facility Name: SLC 92 3553 03 to SLC 92 3560 03
 Days: SLC 92 3553 07 to SLC 92 3560 07
 List facilities in the order they appear in the 2008 FIR.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**9.8 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling.

Objective

Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

NOT APPLICABLE

SLC 92 3655 07 Financial Information Return.

**9.9 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE
(Based on Combined Residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional Tonnage)
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling
(based on combined residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional tonnage).

Objective

Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

NOT APPLICABLE

SLC 92 3656 07 Financial Information Return.

Parks and Recreation

CONTACT PERSON

Perry Blackburn and Steve Elsy

10.1 PARKS – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$7.39	\$8.40	\$7.37	\$7.83	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for parks per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of parks.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Operating Costs = \$36,245
Population = 4906

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 7102 13 Financial Information Return.

10.2 RECREATION PROGRAMS – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	\$x.xx	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation programs per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation programs.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

NOT APPLICABLE

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
This measure is based on total population, not the population participating in recreation programs.
SLC 91 7202 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

10.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY				
	2008	2007	2006	2005
	\$48.87	\$49.11	\$52.19	\$52.70

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation facilities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Operating Costs = \$239,738

Population = 4906

For further information please contact Barry Wilson, Arena Manager 613-395-5000

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 7303 13 Financial Information Return.

10.4 RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) EFFICIENCY				
	2008	2007		
	\$56.25	\$49.11		

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation programs and recreation facilities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Total Operating Costs \$275,983

Population = 4906

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

This measure represents a subtotal and is automatically completed when a municipality reports one or more efficiency measures for parks and recreation.

SLC 91 7305 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

10.5 TRAILS - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0	0	0	0	56

Effectiveness Measure
Total kilometres of trails.

SLC 92 7152 05 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.59

Effectiveness Measure
Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons.

Objective
Trails provide recreation opportunities.

SLC 92 7152 07 Financial Information Return.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

10.6 OPEN SPACE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006		
	10	10	10		

Effectiveness Measure
Hectares of open space (municipally owned).

SLC 92 7155 05 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006		
	2.038	2.038	2.213		

Effectiveness Measure
Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).

Objective
Open space is adequate for population.

SLC 92 7155 07 Financial Information Return.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Municipality owns approximately 10 Hectares of open space and our population is 4906

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**10.7 PARTICIPANT HOURS FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Effectiveness Measure

Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Recreation programs serve needs of residents.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

This measure was redefined in 2005 to exclude special events.

The denominator of this measure is total population divided by 1,000 and does not represent the number of participants in recreation programs.

SLC 92 7255 07 Financial Information Return.

10.8 INDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	2006		
	X	X	X		

Effectiveness Measure NOT APPLICABLE

Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned).

SLC 92 7356 05 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006		
	X.X	X.X	X.X		

Effectiveness Measure NOT APPLICABLE

Square metres of indoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).

Objective

Recreation facility space is adequate for population.

In 2006, the measure for recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.

SLC 92 7356 07 Financial Information Return.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

10.9 OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITY SPACE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006		
	0	0	0		
<p>Effectiveness Measure Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space (municipally owned).</p>					
<p>SLC 92 7359 05 Financial Information Return.</p>					
	2008	2007	2006		
	0.0	0.0	0.0		
<p>Effectiveness Measure Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).</p>					
<p>Objective Recreation facility space is adequate for population.</p>					
<p>In 2006, the measure of recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.</p>					
<p>SLC 92 7359 07 Financial Information Return.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results</p>					

Libraries

CONTACT PERSON

Sue Winfield, Librarian 613-395-2837

11.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$28.25	\$29.51	38.92	31.55	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for library services per person.

Objective

Efficient library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Operating Costs = \$138,597
Population 4906

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 7403 13 Financial Information Return.

11.2 LIBRARY COSTS PER USE – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$5.71	\$6.16	\$11.27	\$2.63	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for library services per use.

Objective

Efficient library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Operating Costs = \$138,597
Number of uses by our Municipality = 24,262

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 7404 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

11.3 LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	4.945	4.79	3.453	12.010	7.164

Effectiveness Measure

Library uses per person.

Objective

Increased use of library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Total Library uses = 24,262

Population = 4906

SLC 92 7460 07 Financial Information Return.

11.4 ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	8.6%	0%	0%	0%	11%

Effectiveness Measure

Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.

Objective

Better information on library usage.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 7461 07 Financial Information Return.

11.5 NON-ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	91.4%	100%	100%	100%	89%

Effectiveness Measure

Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.

Objective

Better information on library usage.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 7462 07 Financial Information Return.

Land Use Planning

CONTACT PERSON

Kevin Heath, Clerk-Administrator 613-395-3380 ext. 2223

12.1 LOCATION OF NEW DEVELOPMENT - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007		
	0.0%	33.3%		

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.

Objective

New residential development is occurring within settlement areas.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 8170 07 Financial Information Return.

In 2007, the measure for the location of new development was redefined for greater accuracy.

**12.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DURING REPORTING YEAR
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

14,245 hectares of land designated for agricultural purposes.

SLC 92 8163 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**12.3 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND RELATIVE TO 2000
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	100.2%	100.2%	100.2%	100.2%	100.2%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Official Plan in 2008 = 14,245
Official Plan in 2000 = 14,210

SLC 92 8164 07 Financial Information Return.

**12.4 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES DURING REPORTING YEAR
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0	0	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure

Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 8165 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

12.5 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES SINCE 2000 EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	-35	-35	-35	-35	-35
<p>Effectiveness Measure</p> <p>Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.</p> <p>Objective</p> <p>Preservation of agricultural land.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results</p>					
<p>SLC 92 8166 07 Financial Information Return.</p>					